

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Addiese: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P O Box 1430 Alexandra, Virginia 22313-1450 www.wepto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/562,863	07/13/2006	Marilynn P. Green	042933/305941	6382
826 7500 05/22/2009 ALSTON & BISOLLP BANK OF AMERICA PLAZA 101 SOUTH TRYON STREET, SUITE 4000 CHARLOTTE. NC 2828-04000			EXAMINER	
			DEPPE, BETSY LEE	
			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			2611	
			MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE
			05/22/2009	PAPER

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

Application No. Applicant(s) 10/562 863 GREEN ET AL. Office Action Summary Examiner Art Unit Betsy L. Deppe 2611 -- The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address --Period for Reply A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) OR THIRTY (30) DAYS. WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133). Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b). Status 1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on or before 7/13/06. 2a) This action is FINAL. 2b) This action is non-final. 3) Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213. Disposition of Claims 4) Claim(s) 1-20 is/are pending in the application. 4a) Of the above claim(s) _____ is/are withdrawn from consideration. 5) Claim(s) _____ is/are allowed. 6) Claim(s) 1-20 is/are rejected. 7) Claim(s) _____ is/are objected to. 8) Claim(s) _____ are subject to restriction and/or election requirement. Application Papers 9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner. 10) ☐ The drawing(s) filed on 29 December 2005 is/are: a) ☐ accepted or b) ☐ objected to by the Examiner. Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a). Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d). 11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152. Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119 12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some * c) None of: Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. Attachment(s) 1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 4) Interview Summary (PTO-413) Paper No(s)/Mail Date. Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)

3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/95/08)

Paper No(s)/Mail Date 12/29/05

Notice of Informal Patent Application

6) Other:

Application/Control Number: 10/562,863 Page 2

Art Unit: 2611

DETAILED ACTION

Information Disclosure Statement

 The information disclosure statement (IDS) submitted on December 29, 2005 has been considered by the examiner. An initialed copy is provided with this Office Action.

Drawings

2. The drawings are objected to because the text and/or numbers in the drawings are less than 1/8 inch in height. (See 37 CFR 1.84(p)).

Claim Objections

- 3. The claims are objected to because of the following informalities:
 - a. in claim 1, line 1, "Apparatus" should be "An apparatus"; and
 - in claim 10, line 3, "wherein the diffusely-propagated parts are" should be inserted after the comma:
 - c. in claim 15, line 2, "operation of estimating" should be "operation of

forming";

- in claim 16, the Examiner suggests inserting "each of" before "the first" on line 1 and deleting "each" on line 2; and
- e. in claim 17, the Examiner suggests inserting "each of" before "the first" on line 1 and deleting "each" on line 2.

Appropriate correction is required.

Page 3

Application/Control Number: 10/562,863

Art Unit: 2611

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 112

4. The following is a quotation of the first paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112:

The specification shall contain a written description of the invention, and of the manner and process of making and using it, in such full, clear, concise, and exact terms as to enable any person skilled in the art to which it pertains, or with which it is most nearly connected, to make and use the same and shall set forth the best mode contemplated by the inventor of carrying out his invention.

- 5. The following is a quotation of the second paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112:
 - The specification shall conclude with one or more claims particularly pointing out and distinctly claiming the subject matter which the applicant regards as his invention.
- 6. Claim 11 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, first paragraph, as failing to comply with the enablement requirement. The claim(s) contains subject matter which was not described in the specification in such a way as to enable one skilled in the art to which it pertains, or with which it is most nearly connected, to make and/or use the invention. The detailed description does not appear to describe how to form a channel estimate of two radio channel parts extending from two sending stations to the receiving station. According to the detailed description, the channel impulse response estimator determines the channel impulse response between a single sending station ("12" in Figures 1 and 2) and the receiving station ("14" in Figures 1 and 2). Therefore, it is unclear how to estimate a channel impulse response based on two sending stations as
- Claims 1-20 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph, as being
 indefinite for failing to particularly point out and distinctly claim the subject matter which
 applicant regards as the invention.

Application/Control Number: 10/562,863

Art Unit: 2611

 In claims 1-20, it is unclear what is meant by a "non-diffuse component" and a "diffuse component" in claims 1 and 20 and their respective dependent claims.

- 9. In claim 3, it is unclear what is meant by "subsequent to application to said channel impulse response estimator" on lines 2-3. For example, how is the "signal detector" connected to the channel impulse response estimator.
- In claim 3, it is also unclear how "indications of the application signal" differs from the application signal itself.
- 11. In claim 18, the language is grammatically awkward so that it is unclear what is meant by "in combination" on line 2. For example, it the channel impulse estimate or the at least first diffuse component part of a combination?
- 12. In claim 19, it is unclear how the send signals are applied to the estimate.
- 13. In claim 20, it is unclear what is meant by "once applied to the estimate formed during said operation of forming". How does the estimate relate to the positioning step and/or the send signal.
- Dependent claim(s) are rejected under the same ground(s) as the claim(s) from which they depend.

Conclusion

15. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Betsy L. Deppe whose telephone number is (571) 272-3054. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday. Wednesday and Thursday.

Art Unit: 2611

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Chieh M. Fan can be reached on (571) 272-3042. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

/Betsy L. Deppe/ Primary Examiner, Art Unit 2611